DUNDEE CITY COUNCIL

- REPORT TO: SOCIAL WORK AND HEALTH COMMITTEE 25 JANUARY 2016
- REPORT ON: REPORT ON ARRANGEMENTS FOR MANAGING HIGH RISK OFFENDERS
- REPORT BY: LAURA BANNERMAN, HEAD OF SERVICE, STRATEGY, INTEGRATION, PERFORMANCE AND SUPPORT SERVICES
- **REPORT NO: 23-2016**

1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 This report summarises the eighth annual report on arrangements for managing high risk offenders across Tayside and covers the period 1 April 2014 - 31 March 2015. The report also summarises the findings of the recently published thematic review of MAPPA which was carried out by Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary (HMIS) and the Care Inspectorate and outlines plans to extend Multi Agency Public Protection Arrangements (MAPPA) to certain violent offenders from April 2016.

The Management of Offenders etc. (Scotland) Act 2005 introduced a statutory duty on Responsible Authorities - Local Authorities, Scottish Prison Service (SPS), Police Scotland and Health Boards - to establish joint arrangements for the assessment and management of the risk of harm posed by certain offenders. The Responsible Authorities are required to keep the arrangements under review and publish an annual report.

2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Social Work and Health Committee:

- 2.1 Notes the content of this report, and the MAPPA report attached as an appendix, the good practice in respect of risk assessment and risk management.
- 2.2 Instructs the Executive Director of Children and Families to present such reports to Committee on an annual basis, which, in future, will provide details about certain violent offenders.
- 2.3 Concludes that MAPPA continues to be effective.

3.0 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3.1 None.

4.0 MAIN TEXT

4.1 The introduction of MAPPA in 2007 created a consistent approach to the risk assessment and management of Registered Sex Offenders (RSO).

In Tayside, the agencies already had established working practices however the introduction of MAPPA provided a more accountable framework. Managing risk within the community is complex and challenging, cutting across the organisational boundaries of a number of agencies and the MAPPA arrangements provide a consistent mechanism for

the agencies to work together. To work well, arrangements require leadership, resources, robust processes, training, support and ongoing monitoring and evaluation.

Registered sex offenders form a very small minority of the overall population, currently around 4,787 across Scotland and offences range from sexualised text messages and images, often sent by young people, through to internet offending and offences which can cause serious physical and psychological harm.

- 4.2 In the last 12 months a comprehensive national thematic review of MAAPA was undertaken. The key findings are:
 - MAPPA is well established, with robust arrangements which make a critical contribution to keeping people safe.
 - There is a high compliance rate and a low rate of further conviction for serious violent and sexual offending.
 - A range of skilled professionals work effectively to ensure individuals are managed at the appropriate risk management level.
 - Risk assessment tools are used effectively and the introduction of Sex Offender Liaison Officers for housing has strengthened assessment and planning.
 - There has been a gradual but steady increase in individuals convicted of sexual offences with a particular increase in internet offending.
 - There is close and purposeful working across the agencies.
 - Staff receive appropriate training and are confident in their roles but additional guidance in relation to internet offending is required.
 - Coordinators play a key role in public protection arrangements.
 - Strategic leaders and managers provide clear operational direction and encourage a supportive and positive culture of joint working arrangements.
- 4.3 Locally, feedback was positive, with best practice highlighted as the co-location of police, social work, health, specialised treatment providers and MAPPA staff at Friarfield House; the NHS Liaison officer; the Tay Project which delivers change programmes and strong links to other public protection fora.

The review made 10 recommendations, all of which identified the Scottish Government as the lead. This included the need for guidance on assessing and managing internet offenders, a review of capacity to monitor the use of social media devices and the need for a national public engagement strategy. A further 17 areas for development were identified which included developing awareness of the particular risks/needs of women, young people and, internet offenders.

- 4.4 In terms of the annual report the following is of note:
 - As of 31 March 2015 there were 349 registered sex offenders, an increase of 16 since March 2014.
 - 334 (96%) complied with their registration requirements, a slight decrease from the previous year.
 - 142 are managed jointly by Police and Social Work, an increase of 22 from the previous report. 40% are on statutory supervision
 - 30 restricted patients are managed by NHS Tayside which is an increase of 2.

- 4.5 In terms of future developments
 - From April 2016, MAPPA will be extended to include certain violent offenders where the risk of harm suggests a multi agency response is required. This will formalise existing arrangements which operate across Tayside and ensure a more robust, consistent, clear and accountable multi-agency approach.
 - Social Workers within Criminal Justice are currently undergoing specialist training in preparation for the introduction and more information on the impact of this development will be included in future reports. However it is anticipated that numbers will be low.
 - The Responsible Authorities will also work with the Scottish Government to implement the recommendations and areas for development identified within the thematic review.

5.0 POLICY IMPLICATIONS

5.1 This report has been screened for any policy implications in relation to Sustainability, Strategic Environmental Assessment, Anti-poverty, Equality Impact Assessment and Risk Management. The effective management of known sex offenders and restricted patients within our communities is in-keeping with the Council's Risk Management Strategy of identifying, evaluating and controlling risk.

An Equality Impact Assessment is attached to this report.

6.0 CONSULTATIONS

6.1 The Chief Executive, Executive Director of Corporate Services and Head of Democratic and Legal Services were consulted in the preparation of this report.

7.0 BACKGROUND PAPERS

LAURA BANNERMAN

7.1 The Scottish Government's MAPPA Guidance and Joint Thematic Review of MAPPA in Scotland

DATE: 21 December 2015

Head of Se	ervice			
Strategy,	Integration,	Performance	and	Support
Services				



EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT TOOL

Part 1: Description/Consultation

ls t	this a Rapid Equality Impact Assessment (RI	AT)? Yes ⊠	No 🗆
ls t	this a Full Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA)? Yes □	No 🖂
	te of 23/12/15 sessment:	Committee Report Number:	23-2016
Tit	le of document being assessed:	Tayside MAPPA Annual	Report 2014/2015
1.	This is a new policy, procedure, strategy or practice being assessed	or practice being asses	
	(If yes please check box) □	(If yes please check box	
2.	Please give a brief description of the policy, procedure, strategy or practice being assessed.	Annual report on arra High Risk of harm Offen	ngements for managing ders
3.	What is the intended outcome of this policy, procedure, strategy or practice?	Relevant council comm the report	ittee note the content of
4.	Please list any existing documents which have been used to inform this Equality and Diversity Impact Assessment.	n/a	
5.	Has any consultation, involvement or research with protected characteristic communities informed this assessment? If yes please give details.	No specific consultation	has taken place
6.	Please give details of council officer involvement in this assessment.	Elaine Osborne, MAPPA	Co-ordinator
	(e.g. names of officers consulted, dates of meetings etc)		
7.	Is there a need to collect further evidence or to involve or consult protected characteristics communities on the impact of the proposed policy?		statistical information that rs to plan future policies
	(Example: if the impact on a community is not known what will you do to gather the information needed and when will you do this?)		

Part 2: Protected Characteristics

Which protected characteristics communities will be positively or negatively affected by this policy, procedure or strategy?

NB Please place an X in the box which best describes the "overall" impact. It is possible for an assessment to identify that a positive policy can have some negative impacts and visa versa. When this is the case please identify both positive and negative impacts in Part 3 of this form.

If the impact on a protected characteristic communities are not known please state how you will gather evidence of any potential negative impacts in box Part 1 section 7 above.

	Positively	Negatively	No Impact	Not Known
Ethnic Minority Communities including Gypsies and Travellers	\boxtimes			
Gender	\boxtimes			
Gender Reassignment	\boxtimes			
Religion or Belief	\boxtimes			
People with a disability	\boxtimes			
Age	\boxtimes			
Lesbian, Gay and Bisexual	\boxtimes			
Socio-economic			\boxtimes	
Pregnancy & Maternity			\boxtimes	
Other (please state)			\boxtimes	

Part 3: Impacts/Monitoring

1.	Have any positive impacts been identified?(We must ensure at this stage that we are not achieving equality for one strand of equality at the expense of another)	The MAPPA report contains information about services and initiatives which give positive impact on people who are from groups with Protected Characteristics (as listed part 2) who are or can be victims.
2.	Have any negative impacts been identified?	None
	(Based on direct knowledge, published research, community involvement, customer feedback etc. If unsure seek advice from your departmental Equality Champion.)	There are two areas where the impact cannot be assessed because of insufficient information but where we have not identified a need to gather more information
3.	What action is proposed to overcome any negative impacts?	n/a
	(e.g. involving community groups in the development or delivery of the policy or practice, providing information in community languages etc. See Good Practice on DCC equalities web page)	
4.	Is there a justification for continuing with this policy even if it cannot be amended or changed to end or reduce inequality without compromising its intended outcome?	n/a
	(If the policy that shows actual or potential unlawful discrimination you must stop and seek legal advice)	
5.	Has a 'Full' Equality Impact Assessment been recommended?	n/a
	(If the policy is a major one or is likely to have a major impact on protected characteristics communities a Full Equality Impact Assessment may be required. Seek advice from your departmental Equality lead.)	
6.	How will the policy be monitored?	Annual Report will be given to Social Work and Health Committee
	(How will you know it is doing what it is intended to do? e.g. data collection, customer survey etc.)	

Part 4: Contact Information

 Name of Department or Partnership
 Social Work Department

Type of Document	
Human Resource Policy	
General Policy	
Strategy/Service	
Change Papers/Local Procedure	
Guidelines and Protocols	
Other	\boxtimes

Manager Responsible		Author Responsible	
Name:	Jane Martin	Name:	Elaine Osborne
Designation:	Chief Social Work Officer	Designation:	MAPPA Co-ordinator
Base:	Dundee House	Base:	Friarfield House
Telephone:	01382 436001	Telephone:	01382 435518
Email: jane.r	nartin@dundeecity.gcsx.gov.uk	Email: Elain k	ne.osborne@dundeecity.gcsx.gov.u

Signature of author of the policy:	Jane Martin	Date:	23/12/2015
Signature of Director/Head of Service:	Laura Bannerman	Date:	23/12/2015
Name of Director/Head of Service:	Laura Bannerman		
Date of Next Policy Review:	December 2016		



MAPPA Annual Report 2014-2015

INTRODUCTION

This report marks a further year of progress and development of public protection issues in Tayside.

In this eighth report the aim is to highlight the work of MAPPA. Throughout the eight years it has been our over-riding priority to make our streets as safe as possible so that people can go about their everyday lives in a more secure environment.

By providing this insight into the work of MAPPA, we aim to raise public awareness and promote confidence in the work of these valuable multi agency arrangements.

> Jane Martin Chair of Tayside MAPPA Strategic Oversight Group MAPPA

THE LAST 12 MONTHS

In this eighth annual report on the operation of Multi-Agency Public Protection Arrangements (MAPPA) across Tayside, the intention is to raise awareness of how the various agencies work together to assess and manage people who commit sexual offences. The arrangements are statutory which means there is a duty on relevant agencies to share information and jointly agree multi agency plans which address the risk to the public and also help rehabilitate the individual.

In Tayside, MAPPA is overseen by a Strategic Oversight Group which includes representation from the 3 local authorities of Angus, Dundee and Perth and Kinross, Police Scotland, the Scottish Prison Service (SPS), the NHS and Victim Support. A significant area of work this year was preparation for a comprehensive MAPPA Review which was carried out across Scotland during the summer of 2015. This was the first national review of MAPPA and the findings will be available in next years report.

The agencies continue to work well together and a number of joint training events were carried out, including training for MAPPA chairs. All cases are subject to these meetings, which facilitate information sharing and develop risk management plans. In addition staff regularly attend complex case events overseen by a Clinical Forensic Psychologist. These events allow staff to share experiences of difficult cases and jointly explore defensible solutions. Staff who may not be directly involved in the management of sex offenders, for example those involved in child protection or youth justice, also attend as appropriate.

Following the introduction of Police Scotland, there has been a focus on ensuring some key services are delivered consistently within all areas. In this respect, one significant development has been the national introduction of an Environmental Risk Assessment (ERA) process for the accommodation of sexual offenders. This process is carried out for addresses for all offenders subject to MAPPA with due regard to the location of victims and others who may be potential victims. This arrangement should be subject to continual review to ensure the protection of the public.

The MAPPA Co-ordinator continues to liaise with staff from SPS and has particularly strong links with the Open Estate at HMP Castle Huntly, receiving notifications of all Registered Sex Offenders (RSO) placed there. Regular meetings are held to discuss the management of prisoners from Tayside who are progressing to Home Leave. 3 such offenders are in this category with review meetings being held every 6 weeks. This provides valuable information to inform SPS risk management meetings, Parole Board tribunals and the management of people who have committed sexual offences when they return to the community.

The MAPPA Co-ordinator also links to the other Lead Officers involved in Protecting People, from Child Protection, Adult Support and Protection and Violence Against Women. This ensures work around the protection of vulnerable individuals is appropriately co-ordinated, consistent and complimentary. There are some natural overlaps between the work of the groups and their roles in protecting vulnerable people, in terms of working with both parents/carers and children, work with families in general, identifying potential victims and managing the risks posed by certain individuals. In Tayside, arrangements also continue to be strengthened by the post of an NHS MAPPA Liaison Officer. This is a key post in facilitating information sharing on relevant health issues and how they link to the management of risk. As part of their role, they also co-facilitate a treatment programme for offenders with a learning disability, carried out at Craigowl Centre, Strathmartine Complex. This programme is specifically for those with a learning disability who have been convicted of an offence involving sexualised behaviour. Attendance on this programme is often a directive from the Court.

CURRENT NUMBERS

Presently, there are 349 Registered Sex Offenders managed in the community across Tayside, with 142 (40%) subject to statutory supervision with Criminal Justice Social Work and therefore managed jointly with Police Scotland. The overall figures breakdown is as follows:

•	ANGUS	100
•	DUNDEE	160

- DUNDEE 160
 PERTH & KINROSS 89
- This year there was an increase of 22 offenders

This year, there was an increase of 22 offenders subject to statutory requirements. In the last 12 months, 334 (96%) complied with registration requirements. In terms of the nature of sexual offending, it is clear that there has been a significant increase in the possession of indecent images on the internet, with 82 (23%) offenders being convicted of such crimes. Further statistical information is attached at Appendix 1.

In terms of compliance with Court Orders or License Conditions and any re-offending, 7 (2%) were returned to prison for breaching their supervision requirements and 3 (0.8%) were convicted of committing further serious crimes. It can therefore be seen that, as with previous years, the compliance rate is high. As also evidenced in previous years, reoffending rates continue to be low.

FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS

In respect of the coming year, the following priorities have been identified:

- It is anticipated that MAPPA will be extended to cover certain categories of violent offenders. This will involve substantial training for all staff
- The national MAPPA Guidance is likely to be reviewed both to accommodate these changes and any emerging themes from the national review.
- Where relevant to Tayside, all recommended changes will be implemented within and between the responsible authorities

CASE STUDY

The following case study focuses on the complexities of managing a sexual offender in the community found guilty of an offence of possessing indecent images. It is hoped that this will promote understanding of the roles and responsibilities of the various agencies and how they work together to protect the public.

MR A – FROM CONVICTION TO COMPLETION

Mr A was convicted of possession of indecent photographs of children and extreme pornographic images. He was sentenced to two years imprisonment and made the subject of a Sexual Offences Prevention Order (SOPO) for 10 years. A Sexual Offences Prevention Order (SOPO) is an order which requires an individual to comply with certain restrictive conditions. Breaches of conditions are regarded as an offence and are punishable by imprisonment for up to 5 years.

The conditions set for Mr A were that he was prohibited from:

- 1. Using any device capable of accessing the internet unless (i) it has the capacity to retain and display the history of internet use, and (ii) he makes the device available on request for inspection by a Police Officer
- 2. Deleting any such history
- 3. Possessing any device capable of storing digital images unless he makes it available on request for inspection by a Police Officer

Mr A was placed on the Sex Offenders Register for 10 years. On release from prison he was also placed on licence which meant that he was to be supervised by Social Workers in Criminal Justice for a period of 12 months.

Prior to release the Prison Service and Mr A's Social Worker shared information regarding his time in custody. Due to his short prison sentence Mr A did not undertake any offence focused work before being released.

On the day of release, Mr A met with his Social Worker and his appointed Police Offender Management Officer. At this meeting the roles of both agencies were explained and Mr A was advised that, in addition to planned contacts, unannounced home visits would also be made in order to assess the risk he poses. It was emphasised that it was important that he was open and honest about who he was associating with and his activities.

The conditions of both his licence and the SOPO were explained to him and he confirmed he understood the restrictions and the consequences should he breach any of the conditions.

Mr A then registered his name, date of birth, home address, passport and financial details with the Police in accordance with the sex offender notification process.

continued on next page

continued from previous page

The day following Mr A's release from prison his Social Worker, Police Offender Management Officer, Housing representative and Health liaison officer attended a MAPPA meeting, where all the information about him was shared to inform an agreement about the level of risk he presented and to jointly agree a risk management plan.

Mr A met with his Social Worker on a weekly basis to complete the appropriate risk assessments and assess/prepare him for involvement in the treatment programme 'Moving Forward Making Changes' (MFMC). In addition to this both his Social Worker and designated Police Officer made both announced and unannounced visits to his home to help inform the on-going risk management plan. Police visits involved manual checks of his electronic devices.

Throughout all monitoring home visits Mr A was fully engaging with his Social Worker and Officers from the offender management unit. His partner was also seen frequently and she too was engaging and supportive of the management of Mr A. It was felt by both Police and Social Work staff that Mr A was open and honest during his home and office visits.

When Mr A had completed his pre group work sessions, which consist of 7 essential components, including exploring who Mr A had in the community as supports to maintain a non offending lifestyle and how to overcome the difficulties associated with a change of behaviour, he started the MFMC groupwork programme. This required him to attend for two afternoons per week, in addition to his weekly appointment with his Social Worker. Mr A was also given written tasks to complete in his own time and bring back each week to the group.

Feedback from the specialist groupwork facilitators was that Mr A responded well to all tasks and his assignments were prepared to a high standard. These tasks necessitate the collation of a lot of personal and sensitive information from an individual's history and Mr A participated and responded well.

Throughout the treatment programme the facilitators regularly reviewed the work carried out by Mr A and in joint meetings with his Social Worker and Mr A, a plan for future work was drawn up to continue to address key issues and sustain progress. It was clear that Mr A had an understanding of his offending and his risk factors and over a number of months was able to work through all of the modules of the MFMC programme. At the end of the 12 month programme, the facilitators were confident that Mr A had the motivation and drive to lead an offence free life.

Throughout the year of his licence when he was supervised and monitored by both Police Officers and Social Workers regular MAPPA meetings were held to share information to inform on-going risk management plans. When his licence ended Mr A remained subject to the sex offender notification requirements and his SOPO. Police Officers continued to undertake unannounced home visits to ensure regular monitoring.

Mr A continues to be a registered sex offender and will continue to be discussed at regular MAPPA meetings. His risk management plan will continue to be reviewed until his 10 year term of notification requirements is complete.

MR A'S OWN STORY

Just over two years ago I felt my life had come to an end; I had just been convicted and sentenced to two years in prison. The year passed and nothing much changed and I felt like no-one was all that interested. I was released on licence and this is where the real work began.

I started having a weekly appointment at the Criminal Justice Social Work building with my case worker. It was a difficult time with all the worries about having to deal with people and day to day life things but I decided at the outset that I would embrace the work and be honest and open.

I was to start group sessions but had to do some ground work with my case worker before I could start and this focused on my common life goals, what I did in the past to achieve these, and also the concept of change.

After a couple of months I started the group sessions. These were daunting to start as I was joining an established group but I was made to feel very welcome by the facilitators and other group members. Once again I set out to be open, honest and speak up.

The group was on twice a week and it was something I looked forward to, it was important to speak to other human beings and even though the subject matter was sometimes difficult. The approach I took in being honest was definitely the best policy and I think it was appreciated by the facilitators and it also encouraged some of the other group members to open up.

The way Moving Forward Making Changes (the group) worked was useful too. All the group members started at different times and therefore was at different sections of the course, this helped because it kept the course fresh as it was a different topic each session and by listening to others work it gave me the heads up on what was required and made me think about things that had happened in my life before I had to complete the work myself.

I found the group work very helpful as it really made me think about what was important in my life and what I wanted in the future. It was split into various different assignments which after completing I had to present to the group, during the presentation group members would ask probing questions which was incredibly valuable as it opened up avenues that I had not thought of myself. I was lucky in my group as there were several people willing to ask these difficult questions. We also looked into the way our past shaped our life and what things we learnt as children that hold us back in out current life.

One thing I didn't particularly like was the number of changes in the facilitators, there was a base group but it was not always the same people every session and this sometimes made it a little disjointed and it changed the dynamic of the group. On a plus side it did mean that we would have to recap some of the work for the benefit of the facilitator who had missed a session and this was good reflective work.

continued on next page

continued from previous page

Working with the group improved my standard of life, I was more aware of my feelings and I had hope for the future, my confidence had returned and I had a better understanding of what I wanted. As the project names suggests it is more about moving to the future and yes we did do work on leading up to my offence but it was more focused on making a better life for each of the members and reducing the chance of reoffending or giving the members the ability to notice when things were not going right.

Now that I have completed my time at the project my life has got better, I now work which I wasn't sure I would, I have a new girlfriend and I am happy. This may not have been the case had I not attended the group.

Other things that helped was my support network, in particular my father who I would meet for regular coffees and chats about my progress and life in general. I also kept myself busy and motivated which meant I got out and about and didn't sit and dwell on things.

On the whole I found my experience with Criminal Justice Services and Moving Forward Making changes very useful and helpful and I think I took full benefit from this by joining in and believing from the start that I needed help and I wanted to change.

APPENDIX 1 STATISTICS FROM 1 APRIL 2014 UNTIL 31 MARCH 2015

Table 1: Registered Sex Offenders	
REGISTERED SEX OFFENDERS (RSO's)	
a) Number of Registered Sex Offenders: 1) At liberty and living in your area on 31st March : 2) Per 100,000 of the population on 31st March:	349 87
 b) The number of RSO's having a notification requirement who were reported for breaches of the requirements to notify between 1 April and 31 March: 	15
c) The number of "wanted" RSOs on 31st March:	1
d) The number of "missing" RSOs on 31st March:	0

Table 2: Civil Orders applied and granted in relation to RSO's.	
THE NUMBER OF	
a) Sexual Offences Prevention Orders (SOPO'S) in force on 31 March	42
b) SOPO'S imposed by courts between 1 April & 31 March	7
c) Risk of Sexual Harm Orders (RSHO's) in force on 31 March	1
d) Number of RSO's convicted of breaching SOPO conditions between 1 April & 31 March	5
e) Number of people convicted of a breach of a RSHO between 1 April & 31 March	0
f) Number of Foreign Travel Orders imposed by courts between 1 April & 31 March	0
g) Number of Notification Orders imposed by courts between 1 April & 31 March	2

Multi Agency Public Protection Arrangements **Annual Report** 2014-2015

Table 3: Registered Sex Offenders	
REGISTERED SEX OFFENDERS (RSO's)	
 a) Number of RSOs managed by MAPPA level as at 31 March: 1) MAPPA Level 1: 2) MAPPA Level 2: 3) MAPPA Level 3: 	312 37 0
 b) Number of Registered Sex Offenders convicted of a further group 1 or 2 crime between 1st April and 31st March: 1) MAPPA Level 1: 2) MAPPA Level 2: 3) MAPPA Level 3: 	3 0 0
c) Number of RSO's returned to custody for a breach of statutory conditions between 1 April and 31 March (including those returned to custody because of a conviction for a group 1 or 2 crime):	7
d) Number of indefinite sex offenders reviewed under the terms of the Sexual Offences Act 2003 (Remedial) (Scotland) Order 2011 between 1 April and 31 March:	5
e) Number of notification continuation orders issued under the terms of the Sexual Offences Act 2003 (Remedial) (Scotland) Order 2011 between 1 April and 31 March:	0
f) Number of notifications made to Jobcentre Plus under the terms of the Management of Offenders etc. (Scotland) Act, 2005 (Disclosure of Information) Order 2010 between 1 April and 31 March:	46
g) Number of RSO's subject to formal disclosure:	0

Table 4: Restricted Patients	
RESTRICTED PATIENTS (RP'S):	
 a) Number of RP's: 1) Living in your area on 31st March: 2) During the reporting year: 	23 0
 b) Number of RP's per order: 1) Compulsion Order with Restriction Order (CORO): 2) Hospital Detention (HD): 3) Temporary Treatment Detention (TTD): 	30 0 0
 c) Number within hospital/community: 1) State Hospital: 2) Other hospital no suspension of detention (SUS): 3) Other hospital with unescorted SUS: 4) Community (Conditional Discharge): 	5 18 3 4
d) Number managed by MAPPA level on 31 March: 1) MAPPA Level 1 2) MAPPA Level 2 3) MAPPA Level 3	0 23 0
 e) Number of RPs convicted of a further group 1 or 2 crime between 1 April and 31 March: 1) MAPPA Level 1: 2) MAPPA Level 2: 3) MAPPA Level 3: 	0 0 0
 f) No of RPs on Suspension of detention: 1) who did not abscond or offend: 2) who absconded: 3) who absconded and then offended: 4) where absconsion resulted in withdrawal of suspension of detention: 	6 0 0 0
 g) No. of RPs on Conditional Discharge: 1) who did not breach conditions, were not recalled, or did not offend: 2) who breached conditions (resulting in letter from the Scottish Government): 	4
h) recalled by Scottish Ministers due to breaching conditions:	0
I) recalled by Scottish Ministers for other reasons:	0

9

Table 5: Delineation of RSO'S by age on 31st March:	
Age	
a) Under 18	4
b) 18-20	10
c) 21-30	58
d) 31-40	56
e) 41-50	77
f) 51-60	73
g) 61-70	49
h) 71-80	20
i) 81-90	2
J) 91-100	0
Total	349

Table 6: Delineation of population of RSO's on 31st March:	
Sex	
a) Male	349
b) Female	0
Total	349



Table 7: Delineation of RSO's by ethnicity on 31st March:	
Ethnic Origin	
White Scottish Other British Irish Gypsy Traveller Polish Other white ethnic group	332 0 1 0 3 3
Mixed or multiple ethnic group	0
Pakistani, Pakistani Scottish or Pakistani British Indian, Indian Scottish or Indian British Bangladeshi, Bangladeshi Scottish or Bangladeshi British Chinese, Chinese Scottish or Chinese British Other Asian	6 1 0 2 0
African, African Scottish or African British Other African Carribean, Carribean Scottish or Carribean British Black, Black Scottish or Black British Other Carribean or Black	1 0 0 0 0
Arab, Arab Scottish or Arab British	0
Other ethnic group	0
Subject declined to define ethnicity	0
Subject does not understand	0
Total	349

Table 8: Number of RSO's managed under statutory conditions and/or
notification requirements on 31st March:Number of RSO's1/2a) On Statutory supervision:1/2

Total	349
b) Subject to notification requirements only:	207
a) On Statutory supervision:	142

11



Further national information on the subject of MAPPA can be found on the Scottish Government Website:

http://www.scotland.gov.uk/mappaannualreports2015

MAPPA Co-ordinator Friarfield House Barrack Street Dundee, DD1 1PQ

Tel: 01382 435518 **Fax:** 01382 435080

