REPORT TO:	**PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE –** **28 OCTOBER 2002**
REPORT ON:	**SOCIAL INCLUSION PARTNERSHIP 2 – EXTENSION OF PROGRAMME 2002-2004 – INITIAL STRATEGY DOCUMENT**
REPORT BY:	**DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION**
REPORT NO:	**470-2002**

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 To advise Committee of the decision by the Scottish Ministers to extend the Social Inclusion Partnership 2 Programme to 2002-2004.

1.2 To seek approval of the Initial Strategy document (Copies in Councillors' Lounge).

2 RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 It is recommended that the Committee:

a notes the extension of the SIP2 Programme to 2002-2004.

b notes the areas covered by SIP2 Programme.

c notes the associated "clusters".

d approves the Initial Strategy document.

3 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3.1 The extension of the SIP2 Initiative to 2002-2004 will mean that additional, albeit reducing funding will be available for projects serving these areas.

4 LOCAL AGENDA 21 IMPLICATIONS

4.1 The extension of SIP2 strategies and funding to 2002-2004 will address the following key themes of Dundee 21.

- Resources are used effectively.

- Local needs are met locally.

- Access to facilities, services, goods and people is not achieved at the expense of the environment and are accessible to all.

- Health is protected by creating a safe, clean and pleasant environment.

- All sections of the community are empowered to participate in decision making.

5 EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES IMPLICATIONS

5.1 The following equal opportunities items have been addressed:

- Opportunity to create a barrier free city.

- involving and consulting target groups.

- Crime prevention and Community safety will be used to eliminate violence and harassment.

- The needs of minority groups will be considered.

- Opportunities will be sought to create new partnerships.

- Special measures will be taken to improve participating in community life.

6   BACKGROUND

6.1   The Scottish Executive has approved the extension of Dundee's Social Inclusion Partnership 2 (a former regeneration programme area) until 31 March 2004.

6.2   SIP 2 areas cover the worst 6-10% of Enumeration Districts based on the 1991 census deprivation indicators. These areas are more scattered than the concentrated SIP 1 areas.

6.3   Due to the need to continue the funding of projects already established through the Urban Programme, the available resources for new additional activity in Social Inclusion Partnership 2 were therefore limited.

7   IDENTIFICATION OF SIP 2 "CLUSTERS" BOUNDARIES

7.1   The scattered nature of the SIP2 Enumeration Districts required a modified methodology for identifying boundaries.

7.2   The guidance with the extension decision means the strategy will not apply to tightly defined areas as in SIP 1. Rather, it will move to a broadly based and strategic approach linking closely to the emerging Community Planning process.

7.3   The SIP 2 Enumeration Districts (EDs) (the worst 10% based on key indicators less the worst 5% which comprise SIP 1) are clustered in three main areas of the city; the north, north east and central.

- North East - north of the Kingsway and generally east of Forfar Road to the north, and east of the Mid Craigie/Linlathen SIP 1 area.

- North - south of the Kingsway, north of Balgay Hill and west of Dundee Law.

- Central - encircles the city centre, south and west of the Hilltown SIP 1 area, and to the east towards Sinderins. The identified EDs are more scattered throughout this area.

7.4   Having identified the general clusters of EDs it was necessary to identify a wider homogeneous area into which the EDs might comfortably sit, the boundaries then had to be adjusted to fit the smallest geographically defined area for information retrieval – census output areas.

7.5   The benefits of this approach mean that :

- The identified clusters are a sound basis for community representation.
- Each cluster defines an area which can deliver improved opportunities, a meaningful project scale and planning considerations.
- The identified boundaries provide an appropriate basis for monitoring data and are viable units in terms of a funding approach.
- Secures proper service delivery and planning considerations.

8   CONCLUSIONS

8.1   The extension of SIP2 to 2002-2004 is welcome. However, it must be noted that there will be a diminishing budget over the three years.

8.2   The grouping of identified EDs with associated homogenous areas would appear to be the most effective way of delivering a Strategy and collecting and managing information retrieval.

8.3   Whilst the "clusters" approach resolves a number of issues, care will need to be exercised in the allocation of the budget to ensure beneficiaries are resident in the designated SIP2 areas.

9   CONSULTATIONS

9.1   The Chief Executive, Director of Finance, Director of Support Services, Director of Corporate Planning and Director of Neighbourhood Resources and Development have been consulted and are in agreement with the contents of this report.

10   BACKGROUND PAPERS

10.1   Letter from Margaret Curran, Depute Minister for Communities.

10.2   Reply to Margaret Curran.

10.3   Letter from Suzi Fox, Head of Communities Scotland.

Mike Galloway
Director of Planning & Transportation

Iain Jack
Acting Policy & Regeneration Manager   18 October 2002

IJ/DMcD/EJ   CR4

Dundee City Council
Tayside House
Dundee

SOCIAL INCLUSION PARTNERSHIP 2
EXTENSION OF PROGRAMME 2002/2004
INITIAL STRATEGY DOCUMENT

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Dundee Partnership Community Regeneration Group is delighted that the Scottish Executive has approved the extension of Dundee's Social Inclusion Partnership 2 (a former regeneration programme area) until 31 March 2004. The Executive's announcement acknowledges the progress being made to address social inclusion issues within the various communities making up the Social Inclusion Partnership area, while at the same time recognising that there is much still to be done to address the multi-faceted problems facing many residents in these communities.

1.2 The involvement and contribution of local people within the SIP 2 areas played a great part in presenting the case for the extension of Social Inclusion Partnership status beyond March 2002. The commitment of local people was highlighted particularly during the visit to Dundee by Margaret Curran, Deputy Minister for Communities in July/August 2001.

1.3 The nature of the Social Inclusion Partnership 2 has not been without its problems during the first five years of its status, particularly when dealing with the fragmentation of the geographic areas making up the eligible area. Due to the need to continue the funding of projects already established through the Urban Programme, the available resources for Social Inclusion Partnership 2 were limited for new and additional activity. A number of these projects have come to the end of their SIP funding. In this extension phase of the programme, there is certainly more scope for the allocation of resources within a strategic framework, and the purpose of this paper is to outline the approach that the Dundee Partnership will take as we approach the end of SIP status in 2004. The Social Inclusion Partnership 2 must leave behind a strategic framework which enables the continuation of social inclusion policy after 2004.

1.4 The SIP 2 end term evaluation which was carried out by the Geddes Centre for Planning Research, University of Dundee, and the National Evaluation carried out by Cambridge Economic Associates, highlight some of the achievements of the Social Inclusion Partnership 2 as well as issues hindering process and areas where improvements can be made. Overall, the results of the evaluations suggest that while there was significant activity undertaken as a result of SIP 2 resources targeted on eligible areas, the strategic effect of this activity was uneven across the SIP due to the fragmentation of eligible areas. The National Evaluation also focuses on this point which was evident across the full range of former Regeneration Programmes in Scotland. Community involvement was difficult to manage and engage with strategically. This was also due to the fragmentation of the programme. However, there is great evidence that the experience of partnership working over the past five years has given a strong base upon which to build during the extension period and beyond, particularly with the community and voluntary sectors.

1.5 The Dundee Partnership is paying close attention to the outcomes of the end term and national evaluation.

- A SIP Evaluation Task Group was established in October 2001, with the aim of addressing the recommendations made by the Geddes Centre for Planning Research in its evaluation report. This Task Group has already identified action to be taken to address the recommendations (see Appendix 1 to this document).

- Over the past 12 months, the Partnership has done much to extend the involvement of the local people in the Social Inclusion Partnership 2. Representation has come from most of the main SIP 2 communities and is building towards the creation of a separate SIP 2 structure to oversee implementation of the SIP 2 strategy up to 2004 and beyond.

- Dundee's Community Plan published in December 2001, has established social inclusion as its key principle across all the themes of the Plan. This will ensure that social inclusion remains at the forefront of Partner activities during and beyond the current SIP programme.

The themes of the Community Plan are:

- Economy and Enterprise
- Lifelong Learning
- Social Inclusion
- Sustainability
- Health and Care
- Community Safety

1.6 SIPS Underlying Principles

The Dundee Partnership continues to be committed to the underlying principles of the Executive's Social Inclusion Programme, as stated in the national evaluation report. These principles of partnership, maintaining a bottom up approach and ensuring that targeted activity and strategic plans tackle social, economic and physical needs in a co-ordinated way are fundamental to ensuring the promotion of social justice and equality in Dundee. Local needs are best identified by those living, working and experiencing issues within their communities and the Partnership is committed to working with local people, the voluntary sector and all key Partners to ensure that social justice and social inclusion are at the forefront of service delivery in the SIP 2 areas.

1.7 Benefits of SIP Status

The Partnership concurs with the national evaluation findings that SIP status has had an important effect in bringing the needs of designated communities to the forefront of discussion with partners about community needs and service delivery. Locally the SIP designation has probably had a limited effect; however, through the continued involvement of local people it is the Partnership's goal that local awareness of SIP designation will galvanise local people and voluntary sector organisations to contribute to the Partnership process and work with partners to identify community needs.

2. STRATEGIC PRIORITIES 2002/2004

2.1 Emerging from the progress of Social Inclusion Partnership 2 since 1996 and the outcome of the end term and national evaluation are three strategic priorities that the Partnership will work to during the period 2002/2004. These are:

- Enhancement and development of the contribution of communities to the SIP 2 process, and the creation of appropriate structures to facilitate this.
- Monitoring and evidencing the impact of SIP 2 on the designated communities.
- Securing mainstream and other resources.

Each of these is now presented in detail.

2.2 Enhancement and development of the contribution of communities to the SIP 2 and the creation of appropriate structures to facilitate this.

During the initial phase of the SIP 2 there was no representation from SIP 2 communities on the Dundee Partnership Community Regeneration Group. This was largely due to the dispersed nature of the SIP 2 and the difficulty of identifying a structure which would incorporate representation from all the SIP 2 designated communities. At a more local level, there were existing structures for community involvement and representation, for example the Whitfield Steering Group; however, this group was offered and declined representation on the Dundee Partnership Community Regeneration Group. These issues are more fully explained in the end term evaluation report.

The Partnership recognises that the impact of the Social Inclusion Partnership depends greatly on the involvement of local people both in determining needs of their communities and representing these needs in the Social Inclusion Partnership structure as well as in other arenas throughout the SIP 2 areas. To achieve this the Partnership places community involvement at the forefront of its strategic priorities and will undertake to implement this priority through the following action:

- The implementation of Empowering Communities.

  A dedicated SIP 2 Worker will be employed from this funding to develop community involvement in the SIP 2 areas, specifically to facilitate the identification and expression of needs during the extension period.

- The ongoing implementation of Working Together Learning Together, by continuing a programme of SIP 2 seminars involving a range of representation from SIP 2 areas as well as the voluntary sector.

- Developing an area based approach to SIP 2, with the creation of neighbourhood base development in the key SIP 2 communities. This would involve the creation of an identified focus point for the Social Inclusion Partnership within venues agreed by local people.

- The creation of a new structure for community involvement in SIP 2 which will enable SIP 2 representatives to have an identified focus point for the meeting with other Partners to identify and address SIP 2 issues.

- The implementation of a community capacity building programme. The Community Capacity Project will be able to deliver this programme due to the securing of European funding for the period of SIP 2 designation. This will allow the Community Capacity Project to target resources at the designated SIP 2 areas.

The above action will act as a momentum for the involvement of local people in identifying SIP 2 areas and working to address these. It is intended that this momentum will build a base upon which community involvement in issues affecting SIP 2 will continue well after the official SIP 2 designation ends in March 2004.

2.3 Monitoring and Evidencing the impact of SIP 2

In its findings of the former Regeneration Programme areas the national evaluation has stated that on the whole, no real evidence was given that Regeneration Programme/SIP has had a significant change on the quality of life for residents and no evidence that future exclusion would be prevented. What this evaluation could not measure is the human cost and further decline that might have occurred had the programme not existed. Dundee's SIP 2 End Term Evaluation also highlighted that change was uneven throughout the SIP 2 areas when measured against defined targets and measurable indicators. Both reports do acknowledge however that these findings may not be surprising given that we are only just over two years into the SIP programme nationally. In Dundee, the scale and fragmented nature of SIP 2 and the process of moving from Urban Programme to SIP may have diluted the impact of the SIP programme.

In the extension period for SIP 2, the Partnership has an opportunity to build on the monitoring work that has taken place so far. Although the extension period is relatively short, additional indicators are currently being sought which will complement the existing monitoring, whilst also being consistent with Dundee's Community Planning framework and the Scottish Executive's Social Justice framework. A draft list of both the currently monitored and proposed indicators, to be confirmed in March 2002, is provided as Appendix 2.
In implementing the strategic priority the Partnership will undertake the following action:

- Establish a partnering agreement with the Geddes Centre for Planning Research, University of Dundee, for the continuation of monitoring of SIP 2 against Scottish Executive indicators and targets.

- Establish a framework for the alignment of SIP 2 activity within social inclusion and anti-poverty indicators. This will be carried out through dialogue between the SIP Team, Planning and Transportation Department, the Anti-Poverty Implementation Group and the Geddes Centre by end June 2002.

- Ensure that projects/initiatives funded in SIP 2 during the extension period are prioritised according to the social justice indicators and milestones. Further work will be required to identify thematic and community based priorities. This will be carried out in conjunction with local representatives, voluntary sector, and Partner agencies.

- The Partnership will continue to use SIP funding to establish projects/initiatives that will directly impact on SIP 2 residents, and to attract leverage wherever possible, and show clear thought with regard to sustainability beyond SIP funding.

The Partnership is committed to ensuring the application of SIP 2 resources does have a clear impact on the lives of residents of the SIP 2 communities. Evidencing this impact is fundamental to attracting, maintaining and levering additional funding. The impact of SIP 2 activity during the extension period will require to be measured beyond 2004, and resources to undertake this work will be sought. Additional dialogue with the Scottish Executive will be required to take this work forward. This dialogue will build on the current work which is being undertaken by the Dundee City Council Anti Poverty Strategy Group in conjunction with the Scottish Neighbourhood Statistics Research Project on the review of Council information on poverty. It is anticipated that evaluating impact after 2, 5 and 10 years will be the appropriate measurement periods.

2.4 Identifying Alternative Funding Sources

The Partnership is very aware of the impact of the loss of SIP status in 2004 and the loss of Social Inclusion Partnership funding. Much of the work of the Partnership during this period will be to develop an appropriate exit/continuation strategy for existing projects and initiatives.

Given the budget position faced by Dundee City Council, it is going to be difficult for SIP funded initiatives, particularly those whose focus is not directly related to Council/local authority priorities, to find replacement funding. The Scottish Executive's strategy of making resources available nationally to organisations such as Rape Crisis will help, but leaves other organisations such as those providing legal and other advice still struggling to find other alternative sources of funding which will enable them to plan a long term future with confidence.

The Partnership's strategy in the exit period will be to:

- Work with Partners to identify sources of funding for projects beyond 2004; for example work with Dundee Voluntary Action, Kickstart Initiative, Neighbourhood Resources Department's Income Generation Officer.

- Continue to build community capacity and support the development of Neighbourhood Representative Structures which can provide a focus for dialogue on community issues.

- Build up information available to Partners and Council departments about the impact of SIP 2 funding ending, through a programme of "themed" exit strategy seminars (for example childcare, young people, employment and training etc) and to establish priorities for the reallocation of mainstream funding to such initiatives.

In considering the reallocation of mainstream funding and its impact on SIP 2 areas, the Partnership will link closely with the Council's Anti Poverty Strategy implementation which has identified some key priority groupings and themes on a city-wide basis. These include:

Priority Grouping:

- Lone Parents
- Long Term Unemployed
- Older People
- People with disabilities
- Homeless people
- Children and families

City Wide Themes:

- Information and Advice Centres
- Training and Employment Initiatives
- Personal Transport
- Access to Services

3. IDENTIFICATION OF SIP 2 "CLUSTERS" BOUNDARIES

3.1 METHODOLOGY

3.1.1 The extension decision is guided by the SIP 2 final evaluation and the national evaluation of the former Regeneration Programmes. As a result the extension will not apply to a tightly defined area based approach; rather it will move to a broadly based and strategic approach linking closely to the emerging Community Planning process.

3.1.2 The SIP 2 Enumeration Districts (EDs) (the worst 10% based on key indicators less the worst 5% which comprise SIP 1) are clustered in three main areas of the city; the north east, west and central.

3.1.3 The north east cluster tends to be north of the Kingsway and generally east of Forfar Road to the north, and east of the Mid Craigie/Linlathen SIP 1 area.

3.1.4 The western cluster tends to be south of the Kingsway, north of Balgay Hill and west of Dundee Law.

3.1.5 The central cluster tends to encircle to the east, south and west of the Hilltown SIP 1 area. The identified EDs are more scattered throughout this area than those in the northeast and west groupings.

3.1.6 Having identified the general clusters of EDs two further steps have identified a wider homogeneous area into which the EDs might comfortably sit. The boundaries then had to be adjusted to fit the smallest geographically defined area for information retrieval - census output areas. (See Appendix 3).

3.1.7 The central cluster connects three smaller areas generally to the north east of the Hilltown, to the south of Hilltown, loosely in the central area and to the western edge of the inner city.

3.1.8 The boundaries then had to be adjusted to fit the smallest geographically defined areas for information retrieval - census output areas.

3.1.9 The clusters are described to indicate their general location.

      1   West Cluster.
      2   North East Cluster.
      3,4,5   Central Cluster.

3.1.10 These terms are deliberately general since the identified EDs, homogeneous areas and information areas do not best follow community groupings. In addition it would be inappropriate to link these to "communities" without community consultation.

3.1.11 In addition, there is a remote ED in St Mary's adjacent to the Ardler SIP 1 area and a single ED in Hilltown which is already surrounded by the EDs of the Hilltown SIP 1 area. For strategic purposes these EDs will be absorbed into Ardler and Hilltown SIP 1 areas respectively.

3.2 BENEFITS

3.2.1 The identified clusters are a sound basis for community representation.

3.2.2 Each cluster defines an area which can deliver improved opportunities and a meaningful project scale.

3.2.3 The identified boundaries provide an appropriate basis for monitoring data because there is a degree of homogeneity, profiling and data capture.

3.2.4 The scale of the area secures proper service delivery and planning considerations.

3.2.5 The scale of the areas are viable units in terms of funding mechanisms.

A map showing the SIP 2 cluster areas is attached.

SIP 2 EVALUATION TASK GROUP PHASE 2, RECOMMENDATIONS TABLE

VERSION NO 3
AS AT 21 JANUARY 2002

WTLT – Working Together learning Together
NRDD – Neighbourhood Resources and Development Department
VFM -   Value for Money

BNSF -   Better Neighbourhood Services Fund
DPCRG – Dundee Partnership Community Regeneration Group

		IDENTIFIED ACTIONS FROM PHASE 1 SPREADSHEET	**COMMENTS**	**GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS**	**LEAD**	**TIMESCALE**
1	1	No action required.	No comment	No group recommendation.	Not applicable	Not applicable
2	1	Future needs assessment arising from potential future termination of services provided by SIP 2. Produce Social Justice Plan including Exit Strategy by January 2002.	This is necessary to put the programme on a proper footing for a strategic approach.	This will be worked up within the Social Justice Plan for January 2002.	Tricia Sullivan	31 January 2002
	2	WTLT process should continue to focus on local needs and priorities. Discuss proposals for community representative structure through WTLT programme (recall meeting, 27 November 2001) and also DPCRG.	This are clear lessons and approaches developing out of the meeting. A programme approach allows us to focus on local needs and priorities.	Developing a two year programme on a practical lead.	Tricia Sullivan	June 2002
	3	SIP 2 specific groups or an alternative mechanism needs to be established by **March 2002.**	This is now arising out of the work following on from the Working Together Learning Together Initiative.	SIP 2 specific mechanism established by March 2002. Initially this will start as an open forum but will lead into a form comparable with the Grants Sub Group for SIP1, by September 2002.	Partnership Chair	March 2002 December 2002
	4	Examine and identify thematic issues across SIP 2 areas.	This is to be developed through the advancement of the Social Justice Plan. Need to be practicable in what can be achieved given the two year extension granted.	Matters to be pursued through the formation of Social Justice Plan (initial strategy paper).	Tricia Sullivan	January 2002
		IDENTIFIED ACTIONS FROM PHASE 1	**COMMENTS**	**GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS**	**LEAD**	**TIMESCALE**

		SPREADSHEET				
	5	Treatment of appropriate geographies addressing issues arising from fragmentation.	This clearly needs addressed given the problems experienced in the first five years of the programme. The geographic spread of the 46 enumeration districts is an ongoing issue.	An appropriate "clusters" working of the 46 enumeration eligible areas be developed as part of the Social Justice Plan for implementation.	Planning and Transportation Department	31 January 2002
	6	Appointment of Empowering Communities Worker to work with community reps (involvement of SIP 2 reps will be different to that of SIP 1). This links with 2 above and 3.2 below.	This is currently in the process of being actioned in discussion between the SIP Co-ordinator and community representatives involved in the Working Together Learning Together Initiative.	That such appointment be activated as soon as possible to benefit the communities and achieve maximising outputs from the SIP 2 programme.	Tricia Sullivan	1 April 2002
3	1	Extend capacity building/community development work, through the Empowering Communities initiative, by appointment of a worker, effectively to manage out projects over 2 years (6 above).	This is picked up above at point 6 of section 2.	That such appointment be activated as soon as possible to benefit the communities and achieve maximising outputs from the SIP 2 programme.	Tricia Sullivan	1 April 2002
	2	Encourage and develop joint capacity building training across SIP areas.	This is undoubtedly necessary and will need to built into the Empowering Communities Fund for Working Together Learning Together Initiative.	That an approach for joint capacity building training across SIP 2 areas be developed with an initial programme in place by June 2002.	Tricia Sullivan	June 2002
4	1	Neighbourhood based development will be progressed – but its scope and impact will be resource constrained.	This failed to occur at all in the first five years of the SIP2 programme, due to the geographies involved and the lack of resources available. This position has now changed with the Empowering Communities Funds being available and the focus of the monies to be allocated for the 2 year extension period for the SIP 2 Programme.	That an approach to Neighbourhood base development be included in the Social Justice Plan to be prepared by 31 January 2002. Thereafter a programme for achieving such a neighbourhood based development will be identified and prepared by August 2002, further to consultation with community representatives.	Tricia Sullivan	August 2002
		IDENTIFIED ACTIONS FROM PHASE 1 SPREADSHEET	**COMMENTS**	**GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS**	**LEAD**	**TIMESCALE**

		IDENTIFIED ACTIONS	COMMENTS	GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS	LEAD	TIMESCALE
	2	Establish a mechanism for decision making on SIP expenditure within DPCRG, also involving SIP 2 community representatives.	This is covered off at point 3 at section 2.	**TS and SM to input.**	Not applicable	Not applicable
5	1	Community Planning processes to be assessed for engaging in regeneration/ assistance to these geographies.	This will be picked up through the emerging Building Stronger Communities theme.	Discussion to be undertaken with Community Planning Executive on how this can best be married up to establish mainstream service, provision and support of regeneration engagement activities.	Partnership Chair	By December 2002
	2	Ensure all community groups are aware of extension of SIP 2 and flexibility of boundary arrangements.	This will be undertaken. There will be some check on the matter of promoting the flexibility of boundary arrangements and some further discussion on this will be necessary to assess any impacts from this approach and also clarification from Scottish Executive on agreement to this.	The SIP Co-ordinator will advise relevant groups of the extension of the SIP 2 programme. Consideration will be given on how to handle the flexibility on the boundary arrangements once more natural areas have been defined and the consequences from any such flexibility has been assessed.	Tricia Sullivan Planning and Transportation Department	June 2002
	3	Introduce more natural arrangements for engaging with communities.	This is picked up through Section 2, point 5.	This is picked up through Section 2, point 5.	Not applicable	Not applicable
	4	Give programme a means of identity eg a name.	There is a need to badge SIP 2 programme differently. This comes through strongly from the recent evaluation. However, the means of achieving of this remains to be determined.	Discussions should be undertaken with communities, following completion of cluster analysis and development on how better to badge/tag the SIP 2 programme.	NRDD/SIPs Co-ordinator	August 2002
6	1	Strengthen neighbourhood focus on community involvement mechanisms.	This will happen through Empowering Communities. **Further input required from TS and SM**.	**Further input required from TS and SM.**	**Further input required from TS and SM.**	**Further input required from TS and SM.**

		IDENTIFIED ACTIONS FROM PHASE 1 SPREADSHEET	COMMENTS	GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS	LEAD	TIMESCALE
	2	Monitoring and Evaluation exit framework - follow up monitoring and evaluation beyond end of programme – pursue funding of an extension of this	This is to look ahead to show what impact the programme has while in existence and also to monitor, over and after a period of time, the impact from	Dialogue required with Scottish Executive on how to do this beyond March 2004. May require use of additional funds.	Planning and Transportation	March 2004

		with Scottish Executive.	the loss of the programme in such areas.		
	3	Themed (eg child care) meetings with mainstream service providers to assist with SIP 2 exit strategies and service withdrawal.	This has not been done in the previous 5 years of the programme. It is seen as beneficial to start dialogue with mainstream providers looking towards the end of the programme in such areas.	This action should be taken forward by the SIPs Team with the relevant lead officers for the individual projects. Equally the SIP Co-ordinator is to advise on how the broader partnership may need to be involved in time to assist in matters.	SIP Co-ordinator
7	1	Work with Geddes Centre to develop monitoring and evaluation system/ review monitoring and evaluation framework to achieve practicable approach.		Completion of new Partnering Agreement with Geddes Centre is ongoing. This agreement and a revised monitoring framework will clearly state specific SIP 2 requirements, including VFM.	Planning and Transportation — 31 March 2002
				Project monitoring requirements are to be established within the first extended year, 2002/03	Planning and Transportation — 31 March 2003
	2	Partnering Agreement Review, agreed work programme and introduction of appropriate measures and mechanisms to reflect what has been learned. These should also take into account the new SIP 2 requirements from Scottish Executive "initial Strategy Document" and "a progress report for the first year" and the National Evaluation.	Work is currently ongoing on reviewing the Partnering Agreement with the Geddes Centre. Full account is being taken of the guidance issued relative to the Programme extension and the preparation of a Social Justice Plan with appropriate monitoring framework relative to the Social Justice milestones and indicators.	This continuing work will be led by the Planning and Transportation Department, in ongoing dialogue with the Geddes Centre. This is to be endorsed within the Working Together Learning Together mechanism as developed, and via the Dundee Partnership Community Regeneration Group.	Planning and Transportation Department — 31 March 2002

		IDENTIFIED ACTIONS FROM PHASE 1 SPREADSHEET	COMMENTS	GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS	LEAD	TIMESCALE
8	1	Develop a SIP2 focus.	The Partnering Agreement, monitoring and evaluation framework, and Geddes Centre work plan will reflect the separate requirements for SIP 2, eg in terms of project input in SIP 2.		Planning and Transportation/ Geddes Centre	31 March 2002
	2	Continue to progress Working Together Learning Together programme with SIP 2 residents.	This is fully endorsed.	To be achieved through the various steps outlined in earlier points.		
	3	Within review of DPCRG and SIP 1, incorporate arrangements for involving SIP 2 reps in formal structure.	This will be taken forward as the processes develop.	On any advancement of proposals a means is to be established for involving SIP 2 representatives in the formal process.	Chair of DPCRG	October 2002
9	1	No recommendations – no action required. This issue is reflected in the National Evaluation of former RPs.	No action required.	No action	Not applicable	Not applicable

	DUNDEE SIP 2 - SCOTTISH EXECUTIVE COMPULSORY INDICATORS OF CHANGE		
	DESCRIPTION OF INDICATOR	DATA SOURCE	ACTUAL GEOGRAPHY / LEVEL OF ANALYSIS
1	Primary School Population (aged 4-11 approx.)	DCC Education	Primary School Catchment Area
2	Adult population (aged 18+)	DCC Planning Dept / DCC Support Services	DCC Electoral Ward
3	Total population	Tayside Health Board CHI Data	Postcode Sector
4	Number of households	DCC Housing Dept	Housing Division Estate Development Area
5	Number of households	Scottish Homes	Community/Neighbourhood Area: located by address
6	Total number of occupied housing units by number of bedrooms	DCC Housing Dept	Housing Division Estate Development Area
7	Number of households (RSL Housing Stock)	DCC Housing Dept	Housing Division Estate Development Area / SIP2 located by address
8	No of homes demolished and improved	DCC Housing Dept	Housing Division Estate Development Area / Located by address
9	Housing voids	DCC Housing Dept	Housing Division Estate Development Area
10	Migration (household terminations, tenancies and letting)	DCC Housing Dept	Housing Division Estate Development Area
11	Housing Tenure	DCC Housing Dept / Scottish Homes	Housing Division Estate Development Area / SIP2 located by address
12	Types of homes (flats, detached, etc)	DCC Housing Dept	Housing Division Estate Development Area
13	Housing change (new build)	DCC Planning Dept & Scottish Homes	Community/Neighbourhood Area: located by address
14	Recorded crime levels (broken down by category of crime)	Tayside Police	Police Beat Areas
15	Physical Transformation (landscaping etc)	DCC Planning Dept	Community/Neighbourhood Area: located by address
16	Social/Leisure participation (adults attending community centres, libraries etc in community)	DCC Neighbourhood Resources Department	Neighbourhood Development Areas
17	Voluntary groups involved in SIP	DCC Neighbourhood Resources Department	SIP2 Area: located by area
18	School Leaver Destination	SE Education Department	Secondary School Catchment Area
19	Educational Attainment (Standard and Higher Grade)	SE Education Department	Secondary School Catchment Area
20	School leavers without qualifications (Standard Grade Maths &English at levels 1-6)	DCC Education	Secondary School Catchment Area
21	Achievement of 3Rs (P2 level achieving level A in reading, writing and maths)	DCC Education	Primary School Catchment Area
22	School attendance (authorised and unauthorised absence)	SE Education Department	Secondary School Catchment Area
23	School intakes	DCC Education	Primary School Catchment Area
24	Attendance at SIP funded facilities & new projects	DCC NRD / SIP2 Project Data	SIP2 Programme Area: Located by project
25	Housing Benefit Receipt	DCC Housing Division	Housing Division Estate Development Area
26	IS Benefits Receipt (inc. IS, Incapacity, DLA, etc)	Scottish Executive / Benefit Agency	DCC Electoral Ward

27	IS Benefits Receipt by Client Group (lone parents and disabled)	Scottish Executive / Benefit Agency	DCC Electoral Ward
28	Educational Support Grants	DCC Education	Primary and Secondary School Catchment Areas
29	Unemployment Totals	Dept of Employment / DCC Economic Development	Actual SIP2 Area
30	Proportion of youth unemployment	Dept of Employment / DCC Economic Development	Actual SIP2 Area
31	Proportion of long-term unemployment	Dept of Employment / DCC Economic Development	Actual SIP2 Area
DUNDEE SIP 2 - PROPOSED NEW INDICATORS CURRENTLY UNDER INVESTIGATION			
1	Recipients of Housing Benefit	DCC Finance Department	Postcode
2	Recipients of Council Tax Benefit	DCC Finance - Council Tax Roll	Postcode
3	Recipients of free school meals	DCC Education Department - Pupil Database	Postcode
4	Recipients of School Clothing Grants	DCC Education Department - Pupil Database	Postcode
5	No. of children being looked after	DCC SWD Database	Postcode
6	Persons registered as disabled	DCC SWD Database	Postcode
7	Recipients of Home Help service	DCC SWD Database	Postcode
8	Recipients of MOW	DCC SWD Database	Postcode
9	Housing Completions	DCC Planning and Transportation	Cases in SIP areas can be identified
10	Properties demolished	DCC Planning and Transportation	Cases in SIP areas can be identified
11	Population by Age and Sex	DCC Voluntary Population Survey	Postcode

1.   INFORMATION RETRIEVAL

1.1   A number of information units/datasets have been considered.

1.2   These include:

1   1991 postcodes
2   2001 postcodes
3   Ward boundaries
4   Dundee vision boundaries
5   Neighbourhood Service Team Areas
6   Enumeration Districts

1.3   The draft boundaries for the groupings were then adjusted to best match the above range of units.

10.4   1.4   **THE PREFERRED BOUNDARIES SNAP ON TO THE KEY UNITS. THE MATCH IS AS FOLLOWS.**

1   1991 postcodes - the suggested boundaries are a perfect match.

2   2001 postcodes - the suggested boundaries are an almost perfect match, but where there is no match it is not considered material.

3   Ward boundaries - these are, for the most part, arbitrary and do not generally match; however information at ward level tends to be an aggregate of information from one or other of the remaining units.

4   "Dundee Vision" Boundaries - there is a reasonable match in this case but again these boundaries are aggregations of smaller information units.

5   Neighbourhood Service Teams - there is a very good match in this case and again these are aggregated boundaries.

6   Enumeration Districts - the suggested boundaries are a perfect fit to these areas.

IDENTIFICATION OF SIP 2 CLUSTERS
EXTENSION OF PROGRAMME 2002/2004

DUNDEE CITY COUNCIL
SOCIAL INCLUSION PARTNERSHIP 2

January 2002

SIP 2 Clusters
1. West Cluster
2. North East Cluster
3,4,5. Central Cluster

SIP 1 Core Area
SIP 1 Community Area
SIP 2 (Regeneration Programme Area)
SIP 2 Cluster Area
Dundee City Council Boundary